

**The effect of the meaning of the verb on the multiplicity of the meaning of
kindness, an analytical descriptive study**

Abstract:

The title of this paper comes in response to a question: Is it permissible to affirm a thing with a letter of kindness when the aforementioned verb does not reach it? In other words: Is it permissible to sympathize with something and it is not correct for the preceding verb to dominate over the emotion or to reach it, such as saying: I ate fish and tea, and eating is not correct to impose it on (tea) and to dominate it, so can it be said: This sentence is from The door of sympathy vocabulary or the door of kindness of the camel? Rather, the meaning of kindness in it is determined according to the significance of the verb (to eat). The research wanted here to show the linguists' tendencies in these sentences, to emphasize the importance of linking the word with meaning, to show the competencies of the context and its multiple connotations, and to insist on the importance of connecting Language in human thought, and to reveal the effect of the verb connotation in clarifying the meaning of kindness, is it from the conjunction of the vocabulary or from the kindness of the sentences? Depending in all of that on the descriptive analytical approach.

Keywords: verb - multiplicity of semantics - kindness