

## **New social protest movements**

### **Abstract :**

The importance of analyzing this phenomenon has emerged as one of the contemporary variables and its impact on pushing political transformations towards deepening the understanding and democratic behavior in Egypt. Accordingly, this study aims to provide a critical reading of the concept of social protest movements. Movements, and boundaries separating them from institutions and movements interested in public and political affairs in particular, and provide a theoretical basis to explain the reality of the structural problems of these social movements, as well as the content of the speech to express Spyware regulation methods of mass mobilization which it is based, it seems important here, the position of democratic change movements, those of opposition parties and other political currents in society, and their ability to generate political elites involved in public work, and build broad coalitions in favor of their positions. In other words, their ability to engage in political dialogue with various political and social forces and movements in society and to expand the grassroots demand for change .

The study was based on the analytical descriptive method using the method of case study, which enriches the subject of the study through a large number of open interviews and using the manual of the field work through the movements of Kefaya and the real estate tax movement of the leaders of the two movements. The method of collecting information according to this mechanism was based on the interview manual Which is predetermined with a set of questions about the main axes of the analysis of the historical background and the determinants of its origin, the stages of its development, its organizational position, building alliances and benefiting from the accompanying variables as well as its interactions with the events and their development in the field

One of the most important results of the study was that Kifaya was unable to present a political solution of its own. It did not provide a political alternative to the existing system. It did not succeed in assembling all the opposition forces under one position or establishing an all-out opposition front, and lacked the ability to build an economic and social base But it can be given some excuses where it did not have enough time before

launching such as the parties and political organizations exist, and the limited capabilities of headquarters, newspapers and media or membership in the thousands, as in the case of C But also succeeded in breaking the barrier of fear and direct criticism of all political institutions.

It stimulated many other sectors of society to go out to the street. It also gained some legitimacy for its permanent presence in the street and raised the ceiling of criticism of the political system in newspapers and satellite channels. And made issues of internal reform and national problems a priority in daily political debates; and introduced new patterns of organization and new mechanisms for political action