

## **PERFORMANCE OF TWO PEANUT CULTIVARS AND THEIR RESPONSE TO NPK FERTILIZATION IN NEWLY RECLAIMED LOAMY SAND SOIL.**

**Migawer, Ekram A.<sup>1</sup> and Mona A.M. Soliman<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Agron. Dept., Fac. Agric. at Fayoum, Cairo Univ.

<sup>2</sup> Bot. Dept., NRC.

### **ABSTRACT**

Two field experiments were carried out during 1999 and 2000 summer season at the experimental Farm, Fac. of Agric. at Fayoum (newly reclaimed sandy soil), to study the performance and responses of two peanut cultivars (Giza 4 & Giza 5) to different combination level of NPK fertilizer T<sub>1</sub> (15N+20P+25K), T<sub>2</sub>(30N+20P+50K), T<sub>3</sub> (15N+30P+25K), T<sub>4</sub> (30N+30P+50K), T<sub>5</sub> (15N+40P+25K), and T<sub>6</sub> (30N+40P+50K) were tested.

Result revealed significant differences between cultivars concerning the majority of growth, yield, yield components as well as seed oil and protein contents. This keep true also for the effect of NPK fertilizer treatments as well as the interactions between cultivars and fertilization treatments. The two tested cultivars showed different behaviour during their vegetative and reproductive growth periods. Except for plant height at 75 and 90 days age and seed protein content in the both seasons, all the character averages were in favour of V<sub>2</sub> (Giza 5).

T<sub>3</sub> ( 15 N + 30 P + 25 K ) and/or T<sub>4</sub> (30 N + 30 P + 50 K) were the most suitable nutrient combinations for improving growth and yield characters of peanut grown in such soil. The highest yield (2.7 and 3.1 t/fad. In 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> season, respectively) with acceptable oil and protein contents were obtained from V<sub>2</sub> (Giza5) when fertilized with T<sub>4</sub> (30N + 30P + 50K) treatment. Finally, the data indicated that growing peanut in such newly reclaimed soil under balanced nutrition is profitable.

### **INTRODUCTION**

The importance of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea*, L.) as one of the leguminous crops, is due to the high nutritive value of its seeds which considered rich in protein and fats in addition to other vital components. Therefore, it is an important source of edible oil and protein. Peanut protein may be supplement other proteins deficient in lysine such as cereals, and hence their usage together is fruitful in creating human–food balance especially in developing countries. Moreover, the peanut green leafy organs (contain more than 10% protein) is another advantage characterized the crop as a good fodder for livestock.

In addition, the crop has a good ability for growing in lightly soil, and thrives in improving the characteristics of the newly reclaimed sandy soils which commonly suffer from some constraints such as poor physical properties and nutrients deficiency. In Egypt, during the last two decades, land reclamation is a must. Several newly reclaimed areas were performed at different governorates, including El-Fayoum, which has about 15% of its acreage as newly reclaimed sandy soils adjacent to the desert. These areas should be cultivated with appropriate crops enable to overcome its poor

features and improve its productivity. In this regard, peanut is a preferable choice. So, many research attempts were carried out to manage the peanut crop and to raise its yield quantity and quality in such soil types under different agricultural practices including fertilization with the main macro-nutrients N, P and/or K separately or in combinations.

Phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) fertilization had intensive work compared to those conducted on nitrogen (N). This might be attributed to the idea that adequate supplement of P is important for increasing nodule formation and increased N fixed by legume plants (Robson,1983). Moreover, K has a beneficial effect on N fixation and transformation of photosynthates from the leaves to the root nodules (Haghparast-Tanha,1975). So, relatively little information are available on N effect on peanut crop. Jakbro (1984) as well as El-Seesy and Ashoub (1994) suggested that N application enhanced growth and yield characters of peanut. Abdel-Halem *et al.* (1988) reported that 60 kg N/faddan was adequate dose for producing high yield in sandy soil.

Phosphorus fertilization was investigated by several workers and recommended varied doses of  $P_2O_5$  kg/ha for raising yield and its attributes, i.e. 25 kg (Bhatol *et al.*, 1994); 33 kg (Kumar and Ray Chudhuri, 1997); 40kg (Dwivedi and Gautam, 1992); 50 kg (Patel *et al.*, 1995); 60 kg (Yakadri *et al.*, 1992) and about 114 kg (El-Far and Ramadan, 2000). Also, different potassium fertilization doses (expressed as kg  $K_2O$ /ha) adequate for best growth and yield were detected by various authors, i.e. 30 ( Ghatak *et al.*, 1997); 50 (Patra *et al.*, 1995), 60 (Timmegowdd, 1995), (Gabr, 1998) and ( Abdel Halem *et al.*, 1988). On the other hand, Nour El-Din *et al.*, (1986) reported that P as well as K had no effects on growth and yield of peanut grown in soil containing high nutrient contents. Concerning fertilization with combination of these nutrient, proper different levels of N and P (expressed as kg/ha of N and  $P_2O_5$ , respectively) were detected by (Lal and Saran, 1988) 20 & 40; (Agasimani and Hosmani, 1989) 50&100; (Patil, 1992) 40&60 and (Patel *et al.*, 1994) 25&50. Nasr-Alla *et al.* (1998) reported that increasing the rate of PK individually or in combination increased the crop growth and yield characters. However, Channawar *et al.*, (1995) found insignificant effect of NP on peanut yield. NPK fertilization combination at the rate (N,  $P_2O_5$  and  $K_2O$ , respectively, kg/ha ) of 40, 80 and 40 ( Angadi *et al.*, 1989); 40, 80 and 30 (Barik *et al.*, 1994); and 20, 60 and 40 ( Purushotham and Hosmani, 1994) were the best for producing the highest peanut yield.

It is a fundamental principle that raising crop yield requires both genetic and agricultural improvement. The capacity of yield potential will be enlarged by enhanced agronomic inputs. So, under the newly reclaimed soil which mostly deficient in one or more of the essential nutrients, it should be search for the adequate perfect nutrients supplement in balanced manner. Therefore, the objective of the present investigation were; to evaluate the performance of two peanut cultivars under newly reclaimed loam sandy soil and determine their response to different combination of NPK fertilization in term of some growth characters, yields and its components.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Two summer field experiments were carried out at the experimental Farm at Demo, Fayoum, Fac. of Agric., Cairo Univ., during the two consecutive seasons, 1999 and 2000, to investigate the response of some vegetative growth characters, yield and yield components of two peanut cultivars to nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilization. The soil of the experimental sites was loam sandy in texture. Average of some physical and chemical properties of the experimental sites shown in Table 1.

The experimental design was split-plot in randomized complete block design with four replicates. Sub-plot area was 10.5m<sup>2</sup> contains 5 rows, with 3.5m long and 60 cm apart. Plots were separated from each other by a one meter border strip running lengthwise. The experiment was surrounded by a 2m as wide belt protecting. Peanut cultivars Giza 4 (V<sub>1</sub>) and Giza 5 (V<sub>2</sub>) were assigned to the main plots and NPK combinations: T<sub>1</sub> (15N+20P+25K), T<sub>2</sub> (30N+20P+50K), T<sub>3</sub> (15N+30P+25K), T<sub>4</sub> (30N+30P+50K), T<sub>5</sub> (15N+40P+25K), and T<sub>6</sub> (30N+40P+50K) kg N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O/fad, respectively, were placed in sub-plots. Ammonium sulfate (20.5% N), supermonophosphate (15.5% P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) and potassium sulfate (50% K<sub>2</sub>O) were added in two equal doses, immediately before sowing and 21 days later. In both seasons, the preceding crop was canola. Seeds were sown on May 10 in both seasons in hills spaced 20 cm apart within the rows. Each plot was irrigated separately. All the other recommended agricultural practices were adopted throughout both growth seasons. At 60, 75 and 90 days plant ages, five plants were taken randomly from each sub-plot to measure some growth characters, i.e., plant height (cm), number of primary branches/plant, number of secondary branches/plant, dry matter weight/plant (g).

At harvest time, random sample of 10 guarded plants was taken from each sub-plot in the four replications to determine the plant characters averages, i.e. plant height, number of primary branches/plant, number of secondary branches, numbers of pods/plant, seeds as well as weights of pods and seeds/plant. After pod drying, pods yield (t/fad) and 100-pods weight were determined using the inner two rows/plot.

In addition, samples of seeds obtained from 100-pods collected randomly from each sub-plot in four replications were used to determine: (1) total nitrogen by using micro-Kjeldahl method, as described by A.O.A.C. (1980), the protein content in seeds was calculated by multiplying the total nitrogen percentage by a factor of 6.25. (2) Seed oil percentage was determined by NMR methods as described by Granlund and Zimmerman (1975). Analysis of variance was done according to Gomez and Gomez (1984). The least significant difference (LSD) test at 5% level was used for assigning differences among means.

**Table 1: Physical and chemical analysis of a representative soil sample from the experimental sites of both seasons.**

Constituents	Soil depth (cm)		
	0-20	20-40	40-60
Physical analysis			
Sand %	78.10	77.40	76.80
Silt %	14.5	13.70	13.90
Clay %	7.40	8.90	9.30
Texture	Loamy sand	Loamy sand	Loamy sand
Nutrients			
No <sub>3</sub> (ppm)	8.08	3.20	1.71
NH <sub>4</sub> (ppm)	41.00	38.70	42.50
P (ppm)	1.76	1.19	0.82
K (ppm)	210.6	195.00	140.40
Anions (mequ./L)			
CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	-	-	-
HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	2.63	1.31	1.97
Cl <sup>-</sup>	20.79	9.90	14.85
SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>=</sup>	35.58	40.72	41.28
Cations (mequ./L)			
Ca <sup>++</sup>	18.92	20.00	21.00
Mg <sup>++</sup>	17.22	14.35	15.95
Na <sup>++</sup>	21.91	16.44	20.35
K <sup>+</sup>	0.95	0.74	0.79
Sp	28.00	22.00	21.00
Ece (mmohs)	5.75	5.00	5.75

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### a) Effect of cultivars:

#### 1. Growth characters:

Plant height, primary and secondary branches / plant as well as total dry matter weight, assessed at 60, 75 and 90 days plant age of the two cultivars; Giza 4 (V<sub>1</sub>) and Giza 5 (V<sub>2</sub>) were presented in Tables (2, 3). Great similarity was noticed in the behavior of each cultivar at each age in both seasons. At all ages in the two seasons, significant differences between the two cultivars in all characters except number at primary branches at 60 days age in 1999 season, were detected in favour of V<sub>2</sub> in almost all cases.

In both seasons, V<sub>2</sub> surpassed V<sub>1</sub> in plant height, number of secondary branches and dry matter weight at the young age (60 days). Moreover, at 75 and 90 days age, V<sub>2</sub> markedly increased V<sub>1</sub> in all characters except plant height. This may be attributed to the V<sub>1</sub> ability to elongate at 75-90 days ages, was faster than that of V<sub>2</sub>. But, unfortunately, V<sub>1</sub> failed to increase other canopy organs which act together as physiological components to maximize the dry matter, consequently its dry matter weights at all stages were lower than the corresponding ones of V<sub>2</sub>.

In other words, V<sub>2</sub> was successfully employing the first three characters (as most of the integrated factors for aerial biomass) for increasing its dry matter weight. This is virtually due to its genetic constituent, which control the biomass accumulation (Witzenberger *et al.*, 1985). These results revealed the consistency of both cultivars over years but they responded differently to the available environmental condition because of their different genetic background.

**Table 2: Effect of cultivars, combinations of NPK fertilization and their interactions on growth characters of peanut plants in 1999 season.**

Treatments	60 days				75 days				90 days			
	Plant height (cm)	No. of primary branches	No. of secondary branches	Dry matter weight (g)	Plant height (cm)	No. of primary branches	No. of secondary branches	Dry matter weight (g)	Plant height (cm)	No. of primary branches	No. of secondary branches	Dry matter weight (g)
Varieties (V)												
V1	46.3	6.1	6.9	45.3	57.0	3.4	16.2	85.3	57.1	3.6	17.2	88.9
V2	50.1	6.3	7.7	55.0	53.5	4.9	19.2	89.0	53.6	4.9	19.6	91.4
LSD <sub>5%</sub>	3.0*	n.s	0.6*	6.1*	1.1**	0.7*	1.7*	1.9*	3.5*	1.1*	2.4*	2.5*
Fertilizer combinations (T)												
T1	41.9	5.4	6.8	44.0	48.7	3.6	15.2	77.9	48.4	3.7	15.8	85.4
T2	47.8	6.5	7.2	49.4	57.1	4.4	17.1	54.2	57.1	4.2	17.3	59.8
T3	52.0	6.2	8.1	55.4	58.9	4.8	18.3	109.3	59.0	5.2	18.5	111.0
T4	52.3	6.8	7.1	52.0	59.1	4.4	17.9	104.5	60.3	4.2	19.3	105.7
T5	50.8	6.3	8.1	58.6	49.6	3.5	19.5	87.6	50.5	3.6	20.5	89.1
T6	44.7	6.0	6.4	41.6	58.1	4.2	18.5	89.1	56.7	4.4	19.0	90.2
LSD <sub>5%</sub>	2.3**	0.6**	1.1*	3.0**	0.9**	n.s	1.0**	2.9**	1.9**	0.4**	0.8**	3.1**
Interactions (VT)												
V <sub>1</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	40.3	4.9	6.2	43.5	49.5	2.9	13.3	64.8	50.3	3.1	13.9	78.6
V <sub>1</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	43.5	6.3	7.6	40.1	57.6	3.1	13.4	46.4	56.4	3.1	13.6	49.1
V <sub>1</sub> T <sub>3</sub>	49.6	5.3	5.7	45.5	60.8	3.2	15.8	115.4	58.8	3.8	16.0	117.3
V <sub>1</sub> T <sub>4</sub>	52.7	7.5	7.6	58.9	61.3	4.0	18.7	101.1	63.0	3.9	21.0	101.9
V <sub>1</sub> T <sub>5</sub>	49.7	6.6	8.6	53.7	53.4	3.6	18.6	82.5	54.5	3.7	20.5	83.9
V <sub>1</sub> T <sub>6</sub>	42.2	6.0	5.6	30.3	59.1	3.4	17.4	101.3	59.8	3.9	18.2	102.5
V <sub>2</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	43.4	5.9	7.4	44.6	47.9	4.2	17.0	91.0	46.6	4.3	17.6	92.1
V <sub>2</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	52.0	6.7	6.8	58.7	56.7	5.6	20.7	62.1	57.8	5.4	20.9	70.5
V <sub>2</sub> T <sub>3</sub>	54.4	7.1	10.5	65.4	57.0	6.3	20.8	103.2	59.1	6.6	21.1	104.6
V <sub>2</sub> T <sub>4</sub>	51.9	6.2	6.7	45.0	56.9	4.8	17.1	107.9	57.7	4.4	17.7	109.6
V <sub>2</sub> T <sub>5</sub>	51.9	6.1	7.7	63.6	45.7	3.4	20.3	92.7	46.6	3.6	20.6	94.2
V <sub>2</sub> T <sub>6</sub>	47.1	6.0	7.2	53.0	57.0	4.9	19.6	76.9	53.6	5.0	19.8	77.8
LSD <sub>5%</sub>	3.2**	0.9**	1.6**	4.3**	1.3**	1.3*	1.4**	4.0**	2.7**	0.6**	1.1**	4.3**

\*, \*\* Significant and highly significant at 5% & 1% levels, respectively.

**Table 3: Effect of cultivars, combinations of NPK fertilization and their interactions on growth characters of peanut plants in 2000 season.**

Treatments	60 days				75 days				90 days			
	Plant height (cm)	No. of primary branches	No. of secondary branches	Dry matter weight (g)	Plant height (cm)	No. of primary branches	No. of secondary branches	Dry matter weight (g)	Plant height (cm)	No. of primary branches	No. of secondary branches	Dry matter weight (g)
Varieties (V)												
V1	45.6	5.6	6.9	45.0	56.7	3.5	17.1	87.6	57.2	3.6	16.9	88.6
V2	48.4	6.3	7.9	53.4	53.4	4.9	19.8	93.6	54.0	4.8	19.3	93.2
LSD <sub>5%</sub>	2.8 <sup>*</sup>	0.5 <sup>*</sup>	0.9 <sup>*</sup>	7.7 <sup>*</sup>	2.8 <sup>*</sup>	0.7 <sup>*</sup>	2.1 <sup>*</sup>	5.3 <sup>*</sup>	2.8 <sup>*</sup>	0.9 <sup>*</sup>	2.0 <sup>*</sup>	3.4 <sup>*</sup>
Fertilizer combinations (T)												
T1	41.7	5.3	7.1	40.6	48.5	3.7	15.4	76.5	49.3	3.4	15.2	84.3
T2	47.8	5.9	7.0	47.4	54.8	5.1	17.3	59.5	56.9	4.6	16.7	60.6
T3	51.6	6.3	8.5	56.8	61.4	4.3	19.0	110.1	58.6	4.5	18.7	111.9
T4	49.1	6.2	7.2	51.2	59.7	4.1	19.8	107.4	59.4	4.4	18.8	104.8
T5	48.6	6.0	8.2	57.4	50.8	3.5	20.5	93.2	52.0	3.8	20.0	89.2
T6	43.3	6.0	6.4	41.9	55.2	4.5	18.8	96.7	57.4	4.3	19.1	94.8
LSD <sub>5%</sub>	1.8 <sup>**</sup>	0.5 <sup>*</sup>	1.0 <sup>**</sup>	5.6 <sup>**</sup>	2.1 <sup>**</sup>	0.7 <sup>**</sup>	1.2 <sup>**</sup>	5.6 <sup>**</sup>	1.7 <sup>**</sup>	0.4 <sup>**</sup>	1.7 <sup>**</sup>	1.8 <sup>**</sup>
Interactions (VT)												
V <sub>1</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	39.7	4.8	6.3	44.0	48.9	3.0	13.9	65.6	49.8	3.1	14.0	76.2
V <sub>1</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	43.2	5.3	7.6	40.5	54.4	3.7	13.6	49.6	55.5	3.8	13.7	50.4
V <sub>1</sub> T <sub>3</sub>	49.1	5.4	5.7	44.8	61.4	3.0	15.8	115.8	58.5	3.3	16.0	114.2
V <sub>1</sub> T <sub>4</sub>	49.3	6.5	7.6	56.1	62.5	3.8	20.9	102.1	63.8	3.9	19.7	103.5
V <sub>1</sub> T <sub>5</sub>	50.3	5.7	8.7	54.3	53.9	3.6	20.4	84.7	55.0	3.7	19.4	84.9
V <sub>1</sub> T <sub>6</sub>	42.2	5.9	5.6	30.1	59.0	4.1	18.2	107.8	60.7	3.6	18.6	102.5
V <sub>2</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	43.7	5.6	7.9	37.2	48.1	4.3	17.1	87.4	48.7	3.7	16.4	92.3
V <sub>2</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	52.5	6.6	6.3	54.2	55.2	6.5	21.0	69.4	58.3	5.3	19.8	70.8
V <sub>2</sub> T <sub>3</sub>	54.2	7.1	11.3	68.8	61.4	5.6	22.1	104.6	58.6	5.8	21.3	109.7
V <sub>2</sub> T <sub>4</sub>	49.0	5.9	6.8	46.3	56.9	4.4	18.8	112.7	55.0	5.0	17.8	106.0
V <sub>2</sub> T <sub>5</sub>	47.0	6.2	7.8	60.6	47.6	3.5	20.6	101.6	49.0	4.0	20.6	93.6
V <sub>2</sub> T <sub>6</sub>	44.3	6.0	7.3	53.3	51.4	4.9	19.4	85.7	54.1	5.1	19.7	87.1
LSD <sub>5%</sub>	2.6 <sup>**</sup>	1.0 <sup>**</sup>	1.3 <sup>**</sup>	8.0 <sup>**</sup>	2.9 <sup>**</sup>	1.0 <sup>**</sup>	1.7 <sup>**</sup>	7.9 <sup>**</sup>	2.3 <sup>**</sup>	0.6 <sup>**</sup>	2.5 <sup>**</sup>	2.5 <sup>**</sup>

\*, \*\* Significant and highly significant at 5% & 1% levels, respectively.

## 2- Yield characters:

Significant differences between the two peanut cultivars were observed for number of seeds/plant, 100-pods weight and seed yield/ faddan in both seasons, number of pods / plant and seeds weight / plant in the first season, and plant height in the second one (Table 4 & Table 5). These results confirmed the above-mentioned conclusion that the two tested cultivars were genetically different. It was noticed that the averages of these characters were higher in the second season than those of the first one, due

to varied seasonal climatic conditions. The average seed yield/ faddan of the two cultivars, as final rough product, in the second season increased that of the first one by 18.43%

The data revealed that, in both seasons,  $V_2$  surpassed  $V_1$  in all of these characters except 100-pods weight. These findings indicating again the differential response of the two cultivars and their interactions with the environmental conditions. It is worth to mention that  $V_2$  was taller than  $V_1$  at the harvest time though the reverse was true at 90 days age. But,  $V_1$  had heavier pod weight than  $V_2$ . This could be explained on the basis of sink-source balance where the vegetative growth phases facilitate, or fail to facilitate, realization of the yield potential established during reproductive phases (Wallace *et al.*, 1995). It may be that  $V_1$  exhausted most of its photosynthates in early elongation (at 75-90 days age) before completion of reproductive organs development and consequently had little sink (few number of seeds) which received enough photosynthates (after its elongation was stopped) and hence had heavy seeds weight. While  $V_2$  distributed its photosynthates, in late age, on both elongation and reproductive development and consequently had great sink (more number of seeds) but with light weight. The yield data revealed that  $V_2$  outyielded  $V_1$  by 3.8 & 21.2% in the first and second season, respectively.

### 3. Seed oil and protein contents.

The two tested peanut cultivars were significantly different for the two characters in both seasons (Table 4 & Table 5). In the first and second season, respectively, the advantage was in favour of  $V_2$  for oil content (52.04&51.53%) and of  $V_1$  for protein content (23.00 & 22.78%).

## **b) Fertilization treatments effects:**

### **1. Growth characters**

In both seasons and at all three plant ages, all studied growth characters exhibited significant differences due to varied NPK fertilization treatments (Table 2 & Table 3). At 60 days age,  $T_4$  ( 30 N+ 30 P+ 50 K) produced the tallest plants, and the highest number of primary branches in 1999 season. These results may be attributed to the relative high K component within  $T_4$  combination up to 50 kg  $K_2O$  / faddan, where K plays an active role in the function of enzymes needed for biological processes. Abdel-Halem *et al.*, (1988) and Kankapure *et al.*, (1994) suggested that K had a beneficial effect on growth characters including dry matter. However, Nour El-Din *et al.*, (1986) indicated that K application had no effect on growth characters of peanut plants grown in soil containing high nutrients content.

The effectiveness of  $T_5$  (15N+40P+25K) which gave the highest number of secondary branches and dry matter in both season, may be due to the relative high P quantity which encourage initiation of reproductive organs together with increasing nodule formation. These results are in line with those obtained early by Patil *et al.*, (1982) and Sanker *et al.*, (1984). Otherwise, Mathew *et al.*, (1984) reported that growth characters were not affected by P application.  $T_3$ (15N+30P+25K) ranked as the first treatment for

producing the highest numbers of primary and secondary branches/plant in only the second season. On the other side, the lowest averages were produced by T<sub>1</sub> for plant height and primary branches in both seasons and dry matter in the second one, as well as by T<sub>6</sub> for secondary branches / plant in the both seasons and dry matter in the first one.

At 75 days plant age, tallest plants produce from T<sub>4</sub> without significant difference from T<sub>3</sub> in 1999 and the reverse in 2000 season. The highest number of primary branches / plant was obtain by T<sub>3</sub> followed by T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>6</sub> in the first season and by T<sub>2</sub> in the second season. In both seasons, the highest values of secondary branches / plant and dry matter weights were obtained by T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>3</sub>, respectively. On the other hand, T<sub>1</sub> treatment produced the lowest values of all growth characters in the two seasons, indicating its impropriety for peanut fertilization in such soil.

At 90 days plant age, the tallest plants were produced by T<sub>4</sub>, without significant difference from T<sub>3</sub>, in both seasons. The greatest number of primary branches was obtained from application of T<sub>3</sub> in 1999 and T<sub>2</sub> in 2000 season. In both seasons, secondary branches / plant and dry matter weights were produced from T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> treatment, respectively. The aforementioned results reflect the importance of both T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub>, and probably T<sub>5</sub>, as appropriate fertilization combinations for improved growth characters, while T<sub>1</sub>, and T<sub>6</sub>, and may be T<sub>2</sub>, were ineffective treatments.

## 2- Yield characters:

Generally, in both seasons, similar trend was observed for the effect of NPK fertilization treatments on all of the studied characters. The data presented in Tables 4 & 5 show that the NPK fertilizer –combinations were of markedly different effects on all character without exception in the two seasons of experimentation. Significant differences among fertilization treatments were previously detected by some investigators (Angadi *et al.*, 1989; Patil 1992; Patel *et al.*, 1994; Barik *et al.*, 1994, Purushotham and Hosmani, 1994 and Nasr-Alla *et al.*, 1998).

Treatment No. 4 (30N+30P+50K) produced the highest values of plant height and number of secondary branches/plant in both seasons, as well as weight of pods/plant, number of seeds/plant and seed yield/faddan in first season. It is essential to note that, this treatment not produced any lowest value for any of the studied characters except 100-pods weight, indicating its efficiency. These results were almost in line with those reported by Abdel-Halem *et al.*, (1988) for nitrogen, Yakadri *et al.*, (1992) for phosphorus, and Gabr (1998), Timmegowdd (1995) and Patra *et al.*, (1995) for potassium, who recommended 60Kg/ha from each nutrient separately for producing best growth and yield. However, Channawar *et al.*, (1995) found insignificant effect of NP on peanut yields. Another an effective fertilization combination detected herein was T<sub>3</sub> (15N+30P+25K) where it resulted in highest averages of seeds weight/plant and 100-pods weight in both seasons, as well as number and weights of both pods and seeds/plant and seed yield/faddan in the second season. These results almost confirmed those early reported by Angadi *et al.*, (1989) who suggested the combination



of 40N+80P+30K, as well as Barik *et al.*, (1994) who recommended the "20N+60P+40K" kg/ha as best fertilizer-combination for high peanut yield.

The present results indicated the importance of both two treatments mentioned above for the peanut crop under the conditions of this newly reclaimed sandy soil, which suffer from nutrient deficiency. So, addition of these macronutrients in balanced ratio would result in proper biomass which is an obvious and first near-fully integrated major component of the process of accumulating the net product i.e. yield. Nitrogen as growth activator, at the rate of 15 to 30 (kg, N/fad.); phosphorus as an important element for reproductive development at the rate of 30 kg. P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/fad; and potassium as an effective factor for transportation of photosynthates from source to sink, at the rate of 25 to 50 kg K<sub>2</sub>O, found to be appreciable as balanced combinations for the peanut production in such soil.

It was found also that T<sub>6</sub> (30N+40P+50K) gave the highest average of the primary branches/plant in both seasons. On the other hand, T<sub>1</sub> (15N+20P+25K) produced the lowest averages for number and weight of seeds/plant and seed yield /faddan in both seasons, number of pods/plant in the first season, and secondary branches/plant and pods weight/ plant in the second season. Also, T<sub>5</sub> (15N+40P+25k) gave the lowest values for plant height, numbers of primary and secondary branches as well as pods weight/plant in the first season, and number of pods/plant in the second one. The present results may be contradicted with other previously ones reported by several authors, due to differences in genetic materials and environmental circumstances.

### **3-Seed oil and protein contents:**

As shown in Tables 4 & 5 oil and protein contents behaved differently where T<sub>2</sub> (30N+20P+50K) produced seeds contained the highest oil contents (52.87 and 52.07%) and the lowest protein contents (22.18 and 21.90%) in the two seasons, respectively. While the highest protein contents were obtained from T<sub>4</sub> (23.54 and 23.09%) in both seasons, respectively, but gave the lowest oil percentage (51.01%) in 1999. In 2000 season, the lowest oil percentage was given by T<sub>1</sub> (49.47%). These results may be attributed to the increase P component in T<sub>4</sub> up to 30kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> which activate amino acids and then protein development, while its relative decrease in relation to those of N and K in T<sub>2</sub> may encourage fatty acids formation. In this connection, Abdel-Wahab *et al.*, (1986) found no relevance between oil content and P application. The results detected herein are in agreement with those recorded by Lal and Saran,(1988); Angadi *et al.*,(1989); Yakadri *et al.*, 1992; Barik *et al.*,(1994); Pal *et al.*;(1996), Gabr,(1998); and Dahdouh, (1999). However, Nasr-Alla *et al.*, (1998) found no effect of P on oil and protein contents of peanut seeds.

### **C) Interaction effects:**

#### **1-Growth characters:**

In both seasons and at all plant ages (60,75 and 90 days), all studied growth characters showed considerable differences ascribed to the interaction between cultivars and NPK nutrients (Tables 2 & 3). At 60 days

age, the most promising interaction was  $V_2T_3$  which gave the highest averages for plant height, number of secondary branches / plant and dry matter weight in the both seasons and number of primary branches /plant in the second season. Greatest number of primary branches in 1999 season was obtained from  $V_1T_3$  without significant difference from  $V_2T_3$ . These increases may be attributed to the relative high phosphorus component together with balanced N and K components in  $T_3$  combination as well as to the reliable role of P for encouraging the metabolic processes and consequently increasing dry matter. These results support those obtained by (Patil *et al.*, 1982), (Sankar *et al.*, 1984) and (Nasr- Alla *et al.*, 1998). On the other hand,  $V_1T_1$  on plant height and primary branches, and  $V_1T_6$  interaction on secondary branches and dry matter gave the lowest averages in both seasons. These results confirmed the above mentioned ones concerned with ineffectiveness of both  $T_1$  and  $T_6$  fertilization treatments.

At 75 - plant age, tallest plant, highest number of secondary branches and greatest dry weight were produced from  $V_1T_4$ ,  $V_2T_3$  and  $V_1T_3$  treatments, respectively. However the greatest numbers of primary branches produced from  $V_2T_3$  in 1999 and from  $V_2T_2$  in 2000

On the other hand, the lowest number of primary and secondary branches were recorded on  $V_1$  plants through  $T_1$  treatment in both season, while the least dry weight produced through  $V_1T_2$  in 1999 and through  $V_1T_2$  or  $V_1T_1$  treatments in 2000 season.

At 90 plant age, it was found that in both seasons, the most beneficial interactions were  $V_1T_4$  on plant height,  $V_1T_3$  on dry matter weight and  $V_2T_3$  on primary and secondary branches /plant. However, the lowest values were mostly similar to those of 60 days age. These results clearly revealed the effectiveness of  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  fertilizer-combinations for peanut growth. Also, it indicated that the two cultivars behaved differently in regard to their growth habit, where  $V_1$  may be started its elongation early and had an elongated stem attained most of its photosynthates, whereas  $V_2$  seemed to be balanced in distribution of its photosynthates to both growth and reproductive development. Thereby,  $V_1$  had taller plants with lower dry matter weight than  $V_2$ , which had more primary and secondary branches.

## 2-Yield Characters:

The data presented in Tables 4&5 show that the reliable and valuable interaction effects were obtained from  $V_2$  with different fertilization treatment for all characters in both seasons except plant height in the second season and number of pods and primary branches as well as seed index in both seasons, reflecting the suitability and high response of  $V_2$  compared to  $V_1$  cultivar under the conditions of such experiment.

In both seasons,  $V_2T_3$  interaction produced the highest averages of plant height, number of pods /plant and number of seeds /plant. Highest – yield /faddan was obtained from the interaction of  $V_2$  with  $T_4$ . The greatest number of secondary branches and pod weight/plant were recorded for  $V_2T_6$  and  $V_1T_4$  interaction, respectively. While the highest number of primary branches was as result of interaction between  $V_1$  with  $T_6$  in the first season and with  $T_3$  in the second one. The heaviest 100-pods weight produced from

V<sub>1</sub>T<sub>2</sub> and V<sub>1</sub>T<sub>3</sub> in the first and second seasons respectively. These results confirmed again the results discussed above in cultivars effects and growth characters, concerning the different habits and responses of the two cultivars and the effectiveness of T<sub>3</sub> & T<sub>4</sub> treatments. Similar findings were previously reported by several authors (Agasimani and Hosmani, 1989); (Angadi, *et al.*, 1989); (Patil, 1992); (Barik *et al.*, 1994); (Patel *et al.*, 1994); (Pal *et al.*, 1996); (Nasr-Alla, 1998) and (El-Far and Abdel-Rahman, 2000).

### 3-Seed oil and protein contents:

The data revealed that the two tested cultivars had opposite trend for oil and protein contents (Tables 4 & 5). In both seasons, V<sub>2</sub>T<sub>2</sub> gave the highest oil content, while V<sub>1</sub>T<sub>4</sub> interaction produced the highest protein percentage. However, the lowest values for oil and protein contents were produced from V<sub>1</sub>T<sub>3</sub> and V<sub>2</sub>T<sub>1</sub> interaction, respectively.

**Table 4: Effect of Cultivars, combinations of NPK fertilization and their interactions on yield and its components of peanut plants in 1999 season.**

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	No. of primary branches	No. of secondary branches	No of pods/plant	Weight of pods/plant (g)	No. of seeds/plant	Weight of seeds/plant (g)	100-pods weight (g)	Seed yield /Fed. (ton)	Seed oil %	Seed protein %
<b>Varieties (V)</b>											
V1	48.7	3.6	23.1	26.2	43.50	29.4	21.83	190.0	1.86	51.14	23.00
V2	51.3	3.7	22.8	32.5	46.02	39.7	31.19	185.1	1.93	52.04	22.36
LSD <sub>5%</sub>	n.s	n.s	n.s	3.8**	n.s	4.5*	3.89**	4.1*	0.034*	0.68*	0.48*
<b>Fertilizer combinations (T)</b>											
T1	49.7	3.9	20.5	21.1	46.60	29.9	19.63	177.2	1.36	51.29	22.24
T2	51.7	3.5	21.2	29.8	44.15	34.8	27.66	199.9	2.00	52.87	22.18
T3	50.4	3.8	24.9	36.7	50.05	39.5	33.45	200.9	2.02	50.42	23.00
T4	53.1	3.4	25.8	30.8	50.56	40.4	27.24	175.4	2.15	51.01	23.54
T5	46.3	3.1	19.8	28.1	33.44	30.8	24.74	181.4	2.05	51.99	22.48
T6	48.9	4.2	25.3	29.4	43.77	32.0	26.33	190.6	1.79	51.95	22.64
LSD <sub>5%</sub>	4.0*	0.5**	1.9**	1.7**	3.55**	1.0**	2.59**	6.9**	0.15**	1.20**	0.86*
<b>Interactions (VT)</b>											
V <sub>1</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	52.8	3.9	21.0	17.6	46.86	25.1	14.54	174.8	1.51	50.77	22.87
V <sub>1</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	46.1	3.2	20.1	23.3	41.32	24.6	19.60	211.6	1.92	52.48	21.64
V <sub>1</sub> T <sub>3</sub>	44.7	3.7	24.5	32.8	49.86	33.0	26.16	194.3	2.36	49.56	23.35
V <sub>1</sub> T <sub>4</sub>	50.1	3.3	26.2	30.6	50.97	36.7	25.37	185.5	1.63	51.28	24.81
V <sub>1</sub> T <sub>5</sub>	45.2	3.0	23.0	26.1	34.38	31.0	25.01	180.1	1.79	51.25	22.38
V <sub>1</sub> T <sub>6</sub>	53.6	4.3	23.7	26.6	37.62	26.2	20.28	193.9	1.92	51.51	22.97
V <sub>2</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	46.7	4.0	20.0	24.7	46.33	34.7	24.71	179.5	1.21	51.81	21.62
V <sub>2</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	57.2	3.8	22.2	36.3	46.97	45.0	35.71	188.2	2.07	53.27	22.73
V <sub>2</sub> T <sub>3</sub>	56.1	3.9	25.3	40.6	50.23	45.9	40.73	207.6	1.68	51.28	22.66
V <sub>2</sub> T <sub>4</sub>	56.1	3.5	25.5	31.1	50.16	44.0	29.12	165.2	2.67	50.73	22.27
V <sub>2</sub> T <sub>5</sub>	47.3	3.1	16.7	30.0	32.49	30.6	24.47	182.6	2.30	52.75	22.58
V <sub>2</sub> T <sub>6</sub>	44.2	4.0	27.0	32.3	49.92	37.7	32.37	187.2	1.66	52.40	22.31
LSD <sub>5%</sub>	5.6**	n.s	2.6**	2.4**	5.02**	n.s	3.66**	9.71**	0.21**	n.s	1.22**

\*, \*\* Significant and highly significant at 5% & 1% levels, respectively.

**Table (5): Effect of cultivars, combinations of NPK fertilization and their interactions on yield and its components of peanut plants in 2000 season.**

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	No. of primary branches	No. of secondary branches	No of pods/plant	Weight of pods/plant (g)	No. of seeds/plant	Weight of seeds/plant (g)	100-pods weight (g)	Seed yield /Fed. (ton)	Seed oil %	Seed protein %
<b>Varieties (V)</b>											
V1	47.4	3.6	23.9	29.3	47.2	32.0	26.67	209.5	2.0	50.43	22.78
V2	50.0	3.5	24.1	30.7	43.8	37.7	27.49	197.7	2.46	51.53	22.14
LSD <sub>5%</sub>	1.1	n.s	n.s	n.s	n.s	2.5	n.s	7.1	0.33	0.13	0.48
<b>Fertilizer combinations (T)</b>											
T1	46.0	3.5	16.1	25.1	33.71	24.9	18.34	200.7	1.93	49.47	21.96
T2	47.8	3.2	21.6	27.5	37.66	29.6	23.52	214.9	2.32	52.07	21.90
T3	49.6	3.9	25.8	35.4	54.63	48.4	37.32	218.8	2.69	50.69	22.92
T4	53.1	3.4	27.1	34.7	48.28	36.0	26.34	188.5	2.40	50.97	23.09
T5	48.6	3.5	26.9	24.9	46.66	31.1	24.56	193.1	2.19	51.46	22.09
T6	47.0	3.8	26.3	32.2	52.07	39.1	32.41	205.5	1.96	51.21	22.82
LSD <sub>5%</sub>	3.7	3.6	1.6	2.7	4.15	1.7	4.04	5.6	0.18	0.88	0.55
<b>Interactions (VT)</b>											
V <sub>1</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	42.4	3.5	15.8	24.4	33.14	20.8	17.31	197.0	1.71	49.12	22.85
V <sub>1</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	45.2	2.9	20.3	20.0	26.40	22.6	18.06	221.9	2.18	51.46	21.59
V <sub>1</sub> T <sub>3</sub>	45.8	4.0	27.3	30.0	51.97	39.6	34.40	227.6	2.67	49.06	22.83
V <sub>1</sub> T <sub>4</sub>	53.5	3.7	27.2	40.4	60.39	41.3	31.72	203.8	1.74	50.77	24.69
V <sub>1</sub> T <sub>5</sub>	50.9	3.7	28.1	27.1	57.18	34.0	27.13	197.1	2.10	51.17	21.60
V <sub>1</sub> T <sub>6</sub>	46.4	3.9	24.4	33.6	53.90	33.9	31.42	209.2	1.77	51.00	23.12
V <sub>2</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	49.6	3.6	16.4	25.8	34.28	29.1	19.38	204.4	2.14	49.82	21.07
V <sub>2</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	50.3	3.5	22.8	35.0	48.91	36.7	28.98	207.9	2.42	52.68	22.21
V <sub>2</sub> T <sub>3</sub>	53.4	3.9	24.2	40.8	57.28	57.2	40.24	210.1	2.70	52.32	23.01
V <sub>2</sub> T <sub>4</sub>	52.7	3.2	27.0	28.9	36.17	30.7	20.95	173.3	3.06	51.18	21.48
V <sub>2</sub> T <sub>5</sub>	46.4	3.2	25.8	22.8	36.14	28.2	21.99	189.0	2.27	51.75	22.58
V <sub>2</sub> T <sub>6</sub>	47.6	3.8	28.1	30.9	23	44.3	33.40	201.7	2.15	51.42	22.52
LSD <sub>5%</sub>	5.2	n.s	2.3	3.75	5.88	2.5	5.72	7.9	0.26	1.25	0.78

\*, \*\*Significant and highly significant at 5% & 1% levels, respectively.

### CONCLUSION:

- 1-Peanut crop could be successfully grown in the newly reclaimed loamy sand soil.
- 2-T<sub>3</sub> and /or T<sub>4</sub> were suitable nutrients combinations for improved growth and yield characters.
- 3-The highest yields (2.7 to 3.1 t/fad. In the 1<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> season, respectively) with acceptable oil and protein contents was obtained from V<sub>2</sub> when fertilized with T<sub>4</sub> nutrient combination.

### REFERENCES

- Abdel-Halem, A.K., A.M. Selim, and M.M. Hussein (1988). Effect of nitrogen and potassium fertilizers on growth and yield of groundnut under different irrigation intervals in South Tahrir. Egypt. J. Agron.,13 (1-2) : 147-158.
- Abdel-Wahab, A.M., G.M. Yakout, A.A. Mohamed; H.M.A. Abdel Motaleb (1986). Effect of different levels of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium

- and calcium on peanut. II. Yield and quality. *Egypt. J. Agron.* 11 (1-2): 79-92.
- Agasimani, C.A.; M.M. Hosmani (1989). Response of groundnut crop to stand geometry in rice fallows in coastal sandy soils of Uttara Kannoda district in Karnataka. *J. Agric. Sci.* 2 (1-2) : 7-11 (C.F. Field Crop Abstr., 43, no: 5061, 1990).
- Angadi, V.V.; S.V. Patil, and M.N. Sheelavntar (1989). Response of bunch groundnut to levels of NPK and time of N application in black soil under irrigation. *Farming Sys.* 4 (3-4): 73-76 (C.F. Field Crop Abstr., 43, no. 5064, 1990).
- A.O.A.C. (1980). Official Methods of Analysis. 13<sup>th</sup> Ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists Washington, D.C., USA.
- Barik, A.; P.K. Jana; G. Sounda, and A.K. Mukherjee (1994). Influence of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilization on growth, yield and oil content of kharif groundnut. *Indian – Agriculturist* 38 (2): 105-111. (C.F. CAB Abstr. 1996/97, no. 172 of 490).
- Bhatol, D.P.; N.A. Patel, and R.P. Pavaya (1994). Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and zinc application on yield and uptake of nutrients by groundnut. *Indian J. Agric. Res.* 28 (3): 209-213 (C.F. Field Crop Abstr. 48, no. 5171, 1995).
- Channawar, A.G.; B.N. Dahatonde, and R.B. Ulemale (1995). Response of groundnut to nitrogen and phosphate fertilization. *PKV-Res. J.* 19 (1): 71-72 (C.F. CAB Abstr. 1996/97, no. 150 of 490).
- Dahhouh, S.M.M. (1999). Effect of K fertilization, sulfur and spray of calcium chelate on peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) in a newly reclaimed soil. *Zagazig J. Agric. Res.* 26 (2) : 457 – 467.
- Dwivedi, R.N. and J.R.S. Gautam (1992). Response of phosphorus level and spacing on groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) under agro-climatic conditions of Arunachol Pradesh. *Indian J. Agron.* 37 (3): 481 – 483.
- El-Far, I.A. and B.R. Ramadan (2000). Response of yield, yield components and seed quality of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) to plant density and PK fertilization in sandy calcareous soil. *Proc. 9<sup>th</sup> Conf. Agron., Minufiya Univ., Egypt., 1-2 Sept., 2000:* 453-466.
- El-Seesy, M.A. and A.H. Ashoub (1994). Productivity of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) as affected by different population and nitrogen levels. *Annals of Agric. Sci. Moshtohor, Egypt* 32 (3) : 1199-1221.
- Gabr, E.M.A. (1998). Effect preceding winter crops and potassium fertilizer levels on growth and yield of intercropped peanut and sesame in new sandy soil. *Proc. 5<sup>th</sup> Conf.. Agron., Seuz Canal Univ. Ismailia, Egypt, 28-29 Nov. 1998:*624-632.
- Ghatak, S.; G. Sounda; S. Maitra; D.K. Roy, B.K. Saren, and B.K. Panda (1997). Effect of irrigation and potassium on yield, water use and nutrient uptake by summer groundnut. *Environment and Ecology* 15 (2): 425-428 (C.F. field Crop Abstr. 50 no. 7460, 1997).
- Gomez, K.A. and A.A. Gomez (1984). *Statistical Procedure for Agricultural Research.* 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., John Wiley & Son Inc., New York, USA.
- Granhund, M. and D.C. Zimmeren (1975). Effect of drying conditions on soil content of sunflower (*Helianthus annus* L.) seeds as determined by wide – line nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR). North Dakota Academy of Science, Grand Forks, ND.

- Haghparast-Tanha, M.R. (1975). The influence of potassium on the activity of Rhizobium bacteria. In fertilizer use and protein production. Proc. 11<sup>th</sup> Coll. Int. Ratash Inst., Denmark : 169-178.
- Jakbro, A.A. (1984). Growth nodulation on yield of groundnut as affected by nitrogen rates. *Planta* 60 (6-7). 49-53.
- Kankapure, B.T.; R.T. Warokar, and V. N. Deshmukh (1994). Effect of potassium levels and its time of application on groundnut in Vertisol. *J. of Maharashtra Agric. Univ.* 19 (1): 122-123. (C.F. Field Crop Abstr. 48, no. 5947, 1995).
- Kumar, K. and M. Ray Chudhuri (1997). Differential response of groundnut varieties to phosphorous nutrition in a typic Kanhaplohumult. *Annals of Agric. Res.* 18 (4): 415 – 419 (C.F. Field Crop Abstr. 51, no. 4274, 1998).
- Lal, R. and G. Saran (1988). Influence of nitrogen and phosphorus on yield and quality of groundnut under irrigated conditions. *Indian J. Agron.* 33 (4) : 460.
- Mathew, J.; K.P.M. Nair, and T.F. Kuriakose (1984). The response of groundnut to phosphorus and potassium under different water management practices. *Agric. Res. J. of Kerala* 21 (2): 27-31. (C.F. Field Crop Abstr. 39, no. 1316, 1986).
- Nasr-Alla, A.E.; F.A.A. Osman, and K.G. Soliman (1998). Effect of increased phosphorus, potassium or sulfur application in their different combinations on yield, yield components and chemical composition of peanut in a newly reclaimed sand soil. *Zagazig J. Agric. Res.* 25 (3): 557 – 579.
- Nour El-Din, N.A.; G.M. Yakout; A.A. Mohamed, and H.M. Abd El-Motabeb (1986). Effect of different levels of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and calcium on peanut. I. Growth characters. *Egypt. J. of Agron.* 11 (1).
- Pal, T.G. Sounda; D. Dutta, and P.K. Panda (1996). Residual effect of phosphorus and potassium on yield attributes, yield and oil content of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *Environment and Ecology* 14 (4): 883-885 (C.F. Field Crop Abstr. 50, no. 3159,1997).
- Patel, Z.G., N.P. Solanki, and R.S. Patel (1994). Effect of varying levels of seeds, nitrogen and phosphatic fertilizer on the growth and yield of summer groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *Gujarat Agric. Univ. Res. J.* 20 (1): 148-150 (C.F. Field Crop Abstr. 49, no. 6358, 1996).
- Patel, Z.G.; N.P. Solanki, and R.S. Patel (1995). Effect of seed rate and NP fertilizers on the quality and economics of summer groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) *Gujarat Agric. Univ. Res. J.* 20 (2): 154-156 (C.F. Field Crop Abstr. 50, no. 3156, 1997).
- Patil, J.K. (1992). Influence of nitrogen, phosphorus and cultural methods on the yield of groundnut under rainfed situation. *Advances Plant Sci.* 5 (1): 1-5 (C.F. Field Crop Abstr. 46, no. 8377, 1993).
- Patil, V.C.; G.D. Radder, and B.T. Kudasommonavar (1982). Growth analysis and pattern of dry matter accumulation and distribution in groundnut. *Mysore J. of Agric. Sci.* 17 (1): 16-21. (C.F. field Crop Abstr. 38, no. 6523, 1985).
- Patra, A.K. ; S.K. Tripath, and R.C. Samui (1995). Yield and nutrient uptake by groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) as influenced by potash

- fertilization and method of planting. J. of Potassium Res. 11 (3-4): 332-337 (C.F. Field Crop Abstr. 50, no. 8308, 1997).
- Purushotham, S. and M.M. Hosmani (1994). Response of groundnut to different levels of fertilizer application. Karnataka J. of Agricul. Sci 7(2) : 223. (C.F. CAB Abstr. 1996/97, no. 176 of 490).
- Robson, A.D. (1983). Nitrogen fixation. In Droughton W.J. (ed.) Vol. 3 legumes, 339pp. Clarendon, Oxford.
- Sankar, A.S.; R.P. Reddy, and B. G. Singh (1984). Effect of phosphorus on N fixation in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) Indian J. of plant Physiol. 27 (3): 307-308. (C.F. Field Crop Abstr. 39, no. 3603, 1986).
- Timmegowdd, S. (1995). Direct and residual effect of fertilization on yield and uptake of nutrients by groundnut. J. of Indian Soc. of Soil Sci. 41 (3): 495-497. (C.F. Field Crop Abstr. 48, no. 5172, 1995).
- Wallace, D.H; P.N. Masaya; R. Radriguez, and R.W. Zobel (1995). Genotype, temperature and genotype x temperature interaction effects on yield of bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). In: Pessaraki, M. (ed.) Handbook of plant physiology. Marcel Dekker, New York, pp. 893-915.
- Witzenberger, A.; J.H. Williams, and F. Lenz (1985). Yield, components of yield and quality responses of groundnut cultivars (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) as influenced by photoperiod and a growth regulator. Field Crop Res. 12: 347 – 361.
- Yakadri, M.; M.M. Husain, and V. Satyanarayana (1992). Response of rainfed groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) to potassium with varying levels of nitrogen and phosphorus. Indian J. Agron. 37 (1): 202 – 203.

## سلوك صنفين من الفول السوداني واستجابتهم للتسميد النيتروجيني والفوسفاتي والبيوتاسي في أراضي رملية طميية جديدة

إكرام علي مجاور<sup>١</sup> ومني احمد محمد سليمان<sup>٢</sup>  
<sup>١</sup> قسم المحاصيل-كلية زراعة الفيوم-جامعة القاهرة  
<sup>٢</sup> قسم النبات -المركز القومي للبحوث

أجريت هذه الدراسة خلال موسمي ١٩٩٩ و ٢٠٠٠ بمزرعة كلية الزراعة بالفيوم بمنطقة دمو (ارض صحراوية حديثة الاستصلاح) لدراسة استجابة صنفين من الفول السوداني "جيزة ٤ وجيزة ٥" بالنسبة لصفات النمو والمحصول للتسميد النيتروجيني والفوسفاتي والبيوتاسي. واختبرت تحت ست معاملات (توليفات) سمادية مكونة من النيتروجين والفوسفور والبيوتاسيوم علي الترتيب وهي  $T_1 (٢٥+٢٠+١٥)$ ،  $T_2 (٥٠+٢٠+٣٠)$ ،  $T_3 (٢٥+٣٠+١٥)$ ،  $T_4 (٥٠+٣٠+٣٠)$ ،  $T_5 (٢٥+٤٠+١٥)$ ،  $T_6 (٥٠+٤٠+٣٠)$ . أظهرت صفات النمو والمحصول ومكوناته ومحتوي الزيت والبروتين استجابة معنوية في معظم الحالات للأصناف ومعاملات التسميد والتفاعل بينهما. وأظهرت الأصناف سلوكا مختلفا أثناء مرحلتي النمو الخضري والثمري ، حيث كانت كل الصفات في صالح الصنف جيزة ٤ ما عدا صفة طول النبات عند عمر ٧٠ ، ٩٠ يوم والبروتين في الموسمين.

أيضا أعطت المعاملة  $T_3$  والمعاملة  $T_4$  من التسميد زيادة معنوية في صفات النمو والمحصول ومكوناته. حيث كانت اعلي القيم للمحصول (٢,٧ ، ٣,١ طن للفدان خلال موسمي الزراعة علي التوالي) وبنسبة زيت وبروتين مقبولة للصنف جيزة (٥) مع التسميد بالمعاملة  $T_4$ . وفي النهاية تشير النتائج إلى إمكانية زراعة هذا المحصول في الأراضي الجديدة تحت ظروف متزنة من العناصر الغذائية المختلفة.

*Migawer, Ekram A. and Mona A.M. Soliman*