

Role of the Use of Omental Flap in Prognosis of Cases with Induced Acute Pancreatitis in Experimental Dogs

Mohamed Hassan¹, Nader Shaaban², Ashraf M Abu-Seida³, Mostafa Khodeir⁴, Reem Jan⁵,
Hisham Elsharkawy¹, Engie Hefnawy¹

¹Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt

²Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Fayoum University, Fayoum, Egypt

³Department of Surgery, Anesthesiology & Radiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt

⁴Department of Surgical Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt

⁵Department of Clinical Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt

ABSTRACT

Aim Acute pancreatitis frequently involves peripancreatic tissues and remote organ systems resulting in severe complications and high risk of mortality. Therefore, the present study was carried out to assess the effect of omental flap as a new treatment of acute pancreatitis. **Methods** Ten mongrel dogs with experimentally induced acute pancreatitis were randomly divided into two equal groups; treated and control groups. The pancreas was wrapped with omental flap in the treated group and the pancreas was left without treatment in control group. Urea, Creatinine, lactate dehydrogenase, serum Amylase, Ca, and C-reactive protein were measured in all dogs before surgery and 2 hours, 3 days, 7 days, and 4 weeks post-surgery. Weekly ultrasound examination was performed post-surgery. Exploratory laparotomy was carried out 45 days post-surgery, all findings were reported and pancreatic biopsies were collected and prepared for histopathological examination using Schmidt Scoring system. Data were displayed as the mean \pm SD and SPSS was used. Statistical significance (at $P < 0.05$) was calculated by χ^2 test. **Results** There was a significant difference between the two groups in favor of the treated group as regards levels of lactate dehydrogenase, ultrasonographic findings, pathological findings and Schmidt scoring. **Conclusion** Omental flap can be used as a new minimally invasive effective technique for treatment of acute pancreatitis.

INTRODUCTION

Pancreatitis can either be acute or chronic in nature. However, acute pancreatitis does not typically progress to chronic pancreatitis unless it is accompanied with other conditions, such as pancreatic hypertension or occlusions resulting from scarring [1].

Nowadays, both forms of human pancreatitis are increasing due to the high incidence of gallstones and alcohol abuse [2, 3].

Acute pancreatitis is a common cause of abdominal pain with a short time for diagnosis and treatment. In addition, it is a potentially life-threatening disease which is characterized by a systemic inflammatory response that may result in multiple organ failure [4-6].

The extent of pancreatic necrosis, infection and sepsis are the main determinants of mortality in acute pancreatitis [2].

Early diagnosis and assessment in acute pancreatitis is still far from ideal. Assessment of severity of acute pancreatitis is important to determine the strategy of treatment [7].

A new classification of severity of acute pancreatitis was recently designed, including severe acute pancreatitis (high morbidity and mortality), moderate acute pancreatitis (high morbidity without mortality) and mild acute pancreatitis (low morbidity without mortality) [8].

The major pathological findings in acute pancreatitis are inflammation, edema and necrosis of the pancreatic tissue together with inflammation and injury of extra pancreatic organs.

Several treatment options for the early management of acute pancreatitis have been tested, including the use of enteral nutrition and antibiotics as well as novel therapies such as haemofiltration and protease inhibitors. Over the last decade minimally invasive techniques have been increasingly used for the treatment of infected pancreatic necrosis as 'step-up approach' and minimally invasive necrosectomy [9, 10].

Received September 02nd, 2015-Accepted October 25th, 2015

Keywords Amylases; Islets of Langerhans; Lactate dehydrogenase; Omentum; Pancreatitis

Abbreviations CRP C-reactive protein; LDH Lactate dehydrogenase

Correspondence Mohamed Hassan Fahmy
Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine
Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt

Phone +917087009870

E-mail dr.mohamed69@hotmail.com

The omentum is an ideal tissue to protect an anastomosis or suture line by preventing early anastomotic leakage and providing a source of granulation tissue and neovascularization for healing. Omentum was firstly used to plug a perforated gastric ulcer and to protect various intestinal anastomoses [11-13]. Then, the omentum has been used in various experimental and clinical studies. Therefore, the rationale of the present study was to assess the omental flap as a new line for treatment of severe acute pancreatitis in experimental dogs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design

This study was conducted as an experimental randomized controlled study and was approved by and in accordance with the rules of the ethical committee at Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University and the Animal Use and Care ethical Committee at Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, Egypt.

Animals, Groups

Ten mongrel dogs of sexes, aged 1-3 years and weighted 15-25 kg were included in this study. Induction of severe acute pancreatitis was carried out in all dogs. Then the dogs were randomly divided into 2 groups (5 dogs each) according to the treatment. Omental flap was used in the treated group (group I) while control group (group II) received no treatment.

General Anesthesia

All dogs were premedicated with subcutaneous injection of atropine sulphate 0.05 mg kg⁻¹ body weight (Atropine Sulphate: ADWIA Co., Cairo, Egypt) and intramuscular Xylazine HCl 1.1 mg kg⁻¹ body weight (Xylaject: ADWIA Co., Cairo, Egypt). The anesthesia was induced by intravenous Ketamine HCL 5 mg kg⁻¹ body weight (Keiran: EIMC pharmaceuticals Co., Cairo, Egypt). Maintenance of anesthesia during the operation was done with 25 mg kg⁻¹ incremental doses of 2.5% solution of Thiopental sodium given intravenously (Thiopental sodium: EIPICO, Cairo, Egypt).

Induction of Pancreatitis

Middle median laparotomy was carried out and the initial part of the duodenum was exteriorized through the abdominal wound. The pancreas was isolated by sterile towel (**Figure 1a**), the pancreatic duct was cannulated using #20 i/v cannula (**Figure 1b**) and 10 ml of oleic acid was injected into the pancreatic tissue [14] (**Figure 1b**) for induction of pancreatitis. A window was created in the mesentery and the pancreas was wrapped by omental flap (**Figure 1c**) in 5 dogs (group I). The omental flap was sutured to the duodenal wall by polyglactin 910 suture material # 2/0 using simple interrupted pattern. In group II, no omental flap used. The peritoneum and abdominal muscles were closed routinely by simple interrupted polyglactin 910 suture materials # 0, subcutaneous tissue was closed by simple continuous pattern with polyglactin 910 suture materials # 0 then the skin was sutured by simple interrupted using nylon # 0.

Follow Up

All dogs received daily intramuscular 10 mg kg⁻¹ cefotaxime sodium (Cefotax®, EIPICO, Egypt) and 1.1 mg kg⁻¹ diclofenac sodium (Voltarine®, Novartis Co., Egypt) for 5 days [15]. Daily wound dressing using povidone iodine antiseptic solution and removal of the stitches 10 days postoperative were carried out.

The survived dogs were followed up with biochemical markers including; urea, creatinine, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), serum amylase, calcium (Ca), and C-reactive protein (CRP) before the operation and 2 hours, 3 days, 7 days, and 4 weeks post-surgery.

Abdominal Ultrasonography

Weekly abdominal ultrasonographic examination was carried out in all dogs for 45 days using Toshiba ultrasound device connecting with 3.5-5.0 MHz convex transducer after application of ultrasound coupling gel.

Exploratory Laparotomy

Under the same general anesthesia, exploratory laparotomy in the survived dogs was carried out 45 days postoperative. All gross findings were recorded and pancreatic biopsies were collected. Closure of the abdominal wound and post-operative care were carried out as aforementioned.

Histopathological Examination

The pancreatic specimens were preserved in 10% neutral buffered formalin solution. Tissues were processed by conventional methods, sectioned at 4-6 microns and stained with hematoxyline and eosin [16]. The pancreatitis pathology score was determined for each dog according the Schmidt Scoring [17].

Statistical Analysis

Data were displayed as the mean \pm SD. Statistical significance was calculated by one-way analysis of variance or the χ^2 test. SPSS statistics 17 software for windows was used. Statistical significance of the parameters was determined in the tests at P < 0.05

RESULTS

Clinical Findings

In treated group (I), the operated dogs had normal appetite at the third day of operation. Neither abdominal pain nor abnormal clinical signs were observed.



Figure 1. Laparotomy in a dog (a.), showing exteriorization of pancreas, (b.), cannulation of the pancreatic duct and (c.), wrapping of the pancreas with omentum.

In control group (II), the operated dogs showed fever, tachycardia, various degrees of abdominal pain, dehydration and anorexia for 5 days. In addition, time dependant loss of weight, weakness, vomition and sometimes diarrhea were also seen. Two of these dogs died at the second and third day post-surgery.

Laboratory Findings

Laboratory results showed no significant difference (P<0.05) between the two groups except for serum LDH. LDH was persistently lower in group I than in group II (Table 1).

Ultrasonographic Findings

During the first 3 weeks, the pancreas appeared as an enlarged hypoechoic mass with hyperechoic areas

located in between the intestinal loops in both groups (Figure 2). Time dependant decrease and increase in the pancreatic echogenicity was noticed in group I and II, respectively. The pancreas could not be imaged at 28±3 days post-surgery in group I. Other ultrasonographic findings included; hyperechogenic peripancreatic fat and anechoic peripancreatic abdominal fluid more in group I.

Gross Findings

At 45 days postoperative, gross findings of treated group (I) included; mild adhesion at the abdominal wound, normal omental flap and apparently normal pancreas (Figure 3a).

In control group (II), severe adhesion at the operation site, severe congestion of the omentum, and pancreas,

Table 1. Laboratory results.

Dogs	Before						After 2 h					
	urea	Cr	LDH	Ca	CRP	Amylase	urea	Cr	LDH	Ca	CRP	Amylase
Dog 1	18	0.98	616	8	24	746	17	1.1	1894	9	51	1100
Dog 2	18	1.16	698	9.9	48	977	16	1.04	2300	8.3	46	1136
Dog 3	24	0.86	1315	9.7	24	523	18	0.92	787	8.3	48	570
Dog 4	23	0.87	608	9.9	48	979	22	1.2	1029	8.3	48	978
Dog 5	20	1.2	971	10.2	48	778	24	0.94	1710	8.8	48	756
Dog 6	16	0.95	910	10	40	400	30	1.1	910	10	40	350
Dog 7	20	1.1	1600	10	50	900	19	0.9	1890	8	49	300
Dog 8	15	0.92	2000	9	45	1100	18	1.2	1700	9	45	200
Dog 9	25	1.17	1300	7	45	1300	40	1.3	1100	7.6	50	1240
Dog 10	30	0.78	2300	9	35	600	45	1	2100	9	35	420
Dogs	After 3 days						After 7 days					
	urea	Cr	LDH	Ca	CRP	amyl	urea	Cr	LDH	Ca	CRP	amyl
Dog 1	33	1.3	510	8.2	42	1100	25	1.1	350	7.9	40	930
Dog 2	11	1.1	2100	8.5	48	818	13	0.8	1980	11.8	24	914
Dog 3	Death											
Dog 4	26	1.1	274	8	48	1582	22	1.4	839	11.9	48	2001
Dog 5	20	1.3	497	9	48	1272	25	1.1	1572	11.3	48	1190
Dog 6	30	1.1	800	10	40	120	25	1.1	850	10	45	180
Dog 7	30	0.9	600	8	50	200	23	0.9	600	9	40	120
Dog 8	19	1	1700	9	45	1000	20	1	1300	10	45	200
Dog 9	45	1.2	1000	8	45	1020	45	1.2	920	8.4	45	500
Dog 10	35	1	2000	9	35	200	35	1	2000	9	35	160
Dogs	28 days											
	urea	Cr	LDH	Ca	CRP	Amyl	urea	Cr	LDH	Ca	CRP	Amyl
Dog 1	23	1	400	7.9	36	840						
Dog 2	16	0.8	600	10.5	22	820						
Dog 3	Death											
Dog 4	20	1.3	820	10.1	41	1750						
Dog 5	21	1	1320	10.5	41	970						
Dog 6	22	1	390	9	40	190						
Dog 7	21	0.8	510	8	39	120						
Dog 8	20	1	290	9	38	200						
Dog 9	40	1.1	980	8	39	350						
Dog 10	30	1	200	8.2	31	170						

Highlighted dogs (group 2 control group).



Figure 2. Representative ultrasonogram showing hypoechoic pancreatic head and hyperechoic pancreatic body (PAN) between the hypoechoic duodenum (DOU) and stomach (ST) in a dog of group II at 7th Postoperative day.

pancreatic nodularity, severe pancreatitis, pancreatic necrosis and severe adhesions between the pancreas and stomach, liver, small intestines and abdominal wall were also seen (**Figure 3b**).

Histopathological Findings

Schmidt Score was 3-4 in group I and 9-12 in group II. Group (I) showed statistically significant lower scores in each aspect as well as total score than group (II) ($P < 0.001$) as shown in **Table 2**.

Microscopic examination of treated group showed preserved pancreatic lobules, mild congested blood vessels and mild edema (**Figures 4a & 5a**).

In the control group (II), marked pancreatic pathological changes in the form of edema, hemorrhage, evident leukocytic infiltration and areas of confluent necrosis were seen (**Figures 4b & 5b**).

DISCUSSION

Acute pancreatitis remains a serious disease that is characterized by a pancreatic inflammatory process with possible peripancreatic tissue and multi-organ dysfunction syndrome.

The majority of patients present with a mild pancreatitis, however approximately 20% run a severe course and require appropriate management in an intensive care unit [18].

Considering the rapid course of the disease and the relative inaccessibility of pancreas in human, experimental acute pancreatitis and various potential therapeutic interventions were carried out in animal models. In this study, the rationale of the use of omental flap was carried out in dogs as mentioned before [14].

Although all of the operated dogs were given antibiotic and anti-inflammatory drugs to control the abdominal pain and post-operative sepsis, dogs of the control group had fever, tachycardia, and various degrees of abdominal pain




Figure 3. Exploratory laparotomy 45 days post operative showing smooth pancreas and mild congestion and (a.) adhesions with easily detached omentum in group I (b.). and intense adhesions, marked congestion and nodularity of pancreas in group II.

Table 2. Mean pancreatitis pathology score among the two groups.

Parameters	Groups	
	Treated group (I)	Control group (II)
Interstitial edema	1.4±0.54	2.8±0.45
Leucocytic infiltration	1.2±0.44	2.4±0.54
Acinar cell necrosis	0.6±0.54	2.6±0.54
Hemorrhage	0.8±0.44	2.8±0.44
Total score	3.5±0.5	10.5±1.5

($P < 0.001$).




Figure 4. (a.) Photomicrograph showing preserved pancreatic lobules, congested blood vessels and mild edema in group I (H&E X40). (b.) Photomicrograph showing marked lobular damage, dense inflammatory cell infiltration and edema in group II (H&E X100).

for five days post-operative with two mortality due to severe acute pancreatitis and multiorgan failure. In this respect, acute pancreatitis has a mortality rate of 5-10%. Early deaths are mainly due to multiorgan failure and late deaths are due to septic complications from pancreatic necrosis [19].

In the present study, most of the tested biomarkers were measured. All of these biomarkers were higher




Figure 5. (a.) Photomicrograph showing normal lobules, congested blood vessels and mild edema in group I (H&E X200). **(b.)** Photomicrograph showing confluent acinar necrosis, evident leukocytic infiltration, edema and congested blood vessels in group II (H&E X100).

in the control group than treated one which indicates severe acute pancreatitis. Similar findings were previously reported [19-21]. There was a significant difference between the two groups in favor of the treated group as regards levels of LDH.

Ultrasonography of normal pancreas is difficult due to ultrasound wave propagation interference by adjacent bowel gas but in the present study, it appeared as an hypoechoic to hyperechoic mass due to severe inflammation, edema, necrosis and fibrosis. Time dependant decrease and increase in the pancreatic echogenicity was observed in group I and II respectively, depending upon the severity of pancreatitis, pancreatic edema, hemorrhages, necrosis and fibrosis. Therefore, ultrasonography is a helpful method for diagnosis and prognosis of pancreatitis in dogs.

Second laparotomy 45 days post-operative showed severe congestion, adhesions and pancreatic changes in the control group due to pancreatic necrosis.

In the control group, acinar necrosis, interstitial edema, leukocytic infiltration and hemorrhage were evident. There are several reported mechanisms for these pathological changes. Intracellular activation of proteolytic enzymes induces pancreatic tissue damage in the presence of intracellular lysozyme enzymes and activation of trypsinogen to trypsin. Trypsin in turn activates phospholipases, elastases and other mediators with increased neutrophil migration to the pancreas. Consequently various inflammatory cytokines release and play a pivotal role in pathogenesis of acute pancreatitis

[21, 22]. In addition, inflammatory mediators may also affect intestinal microcirculation with damage of microvilli allowing endotoxin and bacterial translocation into the systemic circulation and hence increase the mortality rate [23-26].

Pancreatic necrosis developed due to various factors including; ischemia, lysozyme enzymes, vasoactive mediators and reactive oxygen species. It is associated with increasing risk of infection and mortality rate [27-29].

As regard the group treated with omental flap, the recorded scores were significantly lower than those recorded in control group. This group showed total absence of acinar necrosis and mild interstitial edema with inflammatory cell infiltrate which represent mild pancreatitis.

It is, best known to our knowledge, that this is the first time to use omentum in treatment of severe acute pancreatitis.

The question now is how such marked difference in the recorded scores between the studied groups could occur.

There are several possible mechanisms played by the omentum to ameliorate or even hinder the process of pancreatitis itself.

The omentum adheres to and seal off areas of contamination with a layer of fibrin at the point of contact. Within few days, the fibrin begins to organize with the development of new blood vessels and fibroblasts [30].

In addition, the omentum is known to be rich in lymphatic and blood vessels that can absorb inflammatory exudates, clear bacteria and foreign material from the peritoneal cavity and prevent further spreading of local infection [31]. Beside this, It has long been recognized that the omentum promotes angiogenesis in the adjacent structures to which it is applied. This neovascularization allows the omentum to provide vascular support to the adjacent tissues and promote function and healing in the ischaemic or inflamed tissue [23, 32, 33].

In the present study, we reported our experience with the use of omental flap as a minimally invasive new technique for treatment of severe acute pancreatitis in dogs and further study on human should be considered.

In conclusion, omental flap is an effective in minimizing the inflammatory reaction and decrease the incidence of mortality in acute pancreatitis. Minimally invasive technique for treatment of severe acute pancreatitis using omental flap must be considered in the future researches.

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflict of interest.

References

1. Coyne A. Assessing and treating the pain of pancreatitis. *Am J Nurs* 1998; 11:14-16. [PMID: 9826926]
 2. Goldacre MJ, Roberts SE. Hospital admission for acute pancreatitis in an English population, 1963-98: data base study of incidence and mortality. *BMJ* 2004; 328:1466-1469. [PMID: 15205290]
 3. Rosso E, Alexakis N, Ghaneh P, Lombard M, Smart HL, Evans J, Neoptolemos JP. Pancreatic pseudocyst in chronic pancreatitis: endoscopic or surgical treatment. *Dig Surg* 2003; 20:397-106. [PMID: 12900529]
 4. Larvin M. Acute pancreatitis. *Surgery* 1996; 14:6:121-128.
 5. Huber W, Schmidt RM. Diagnosis and treatment of acute pancreatitis: Current recommendations Internist (Berl) 2011; 52:823-832. [PMID: 21674247]
 6. Sekimoto M, Takada T, Kawarada Y, Hirata K, Mayumi T, Yoshida M et al. JPN Guidelines For the management of acute pancreatitis: epidemiology, etiology, natural history, and outcome predictors in acute pancreatitis. *J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Surg* 2006; 13:10-24. [PMID: 16463207]
 7. Werner J, Feuerbach S, Uhl W, Buchler M. Management of acute pancreatitis: from surgery to interventional intensive care. *Gut* 2005; 54:426-436. [PMID: 15710995]
 8. Hammel P, Soufir N, Levy P, Rebours V, Maire F, Hentic O, Ruzniewski P. Detection of CDKN2A, CDK and BRCA2 genes in patients with familial pancreatic cancer. *Pancreatol* 2009; 9: 463.
 9. Bakker OJ, Issa Y, van Santvoort HC, Besselink MG, Schepers NJ, Bruno MJ, Boermeester MA, Gooszen HG. Treatment options for acute pancreatitis. *Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2014; 11:462-9. [PMID: 24662281]
 10. Wysocki L, Wroński M, Cebulski W, Krasnodębski IW. Combined minimally invasive management of infected pancreatic necrosis: a case report. *Wideochir Inne Tech Malo Inwazyjne* 2014; 9:107-109. [PMID: 24729819]
 11. Bennett W H. A case of perforating gastric ulcer in which the opening closed by means of an omental plug: recovery. *Lancet* 1896; 2:310-311.
 12. McLachlin AD, Denton DW. Omental protection of intestinal anastomosis. *Am J Surg* 1973; 125:134. [PMID: 4683467]
 13. Agnifili A, Schietroma M, Carloni A, Mattucci S, Caterino G, Lygidakis NJ, Carlei F. The value of omentoplasty in protecting colorectal anastomosis from leakage. A prospective randomized study in 126 patients. *Hepatogastroenterology* 2004; 51:1694-697. [PMID: 15532807]
 14. Murtaugh RJ, Jacobs RM, Sherding RG, O'Dorisio TM. Serum pancreatic polypeptide and amylase concentrations in dogs with experimentally induced acute pancreatitis. *Am J Vet Res* 1985; 46:654-656. [PMID: 2581485]
 15. Abu-Seida AM. Efficacy of Declofenac Sodium Either Alone or Together with Cefotaxime Sodium, for Control of Postoperative Pain, in Dogs Undergoing Ovariohysterectomy. *Asian J Anim Vet Adv* 2012; 7:180-186.
 16. Bancroft JD, Gamble M. Theory and practice of histological techniques. 7th. Edition, Churchill Livingstone, Edinburgh, London, Melbourne and New York, 2013; 252.
 17. Shimizu T, Shiratori K, Sawada T, Kobayashi M, Hayashi N, Saotome H et al. Recombinant human interleukin-11 decreases severity of acute necrotizing pancreatitis in mice. *Pancreas* 2000; 21:134-140. [PMID: 10975706]
-

18. Bradley EL 3rd. A clinically based classification system for acute pancreatitis. *Arch Surg* 1993; 128:586-590. [PMID: 8489394]
 19. Pooran N, Indaram A, Singh P, Bank S. Cytokines (IL-6, IL-8, TNF): early and reliable predictors of severe acute pancreatitis. *Clin Gastroenterol* 2003; 37:263-266. [PMID: 12960727]
 20. Gomatos IP, Xiaodong X, Ghaneh P, Halloran C, Raraty M, Lane B, Sutton R, Neoptolemos JP. Prognostic markers in acute pancreatitis. *Expert Rev Mol Diagn* 2014; 14:333-346. [PMID: 24649820]
 21. Gutiérrez-Jiménez AA, Castro-Jiménez E, Lagunes-Córdoba R. Total serum calcium and corrected calcium as severity predictors in acute pancreatitis. *Rev Gastroenterol Mex* 2014; 13:171-177. [PMID: 24656515]
 22. Zeng YB, Zhan XB, Guo XR, Zhang HG, Chen Y, Cai QC et al. Risk factors for pancreatic infection in patients with severe acute pancreatitis: An analysis of 163 cases. *Dig Dis* 2014; 15:377-85. [PMID: 24720587]
 23. Kusske AM, Rongione AJ, Reber HA. Cytokines and acute pancreatitis. *Gastroenterology* 1996; 110:639-642. [PMID: 8566616]
 24. Alamini G, Uomo G, Pezzilli R, Rabitti PG, Billi P, Bassi C, Cavallini G, Pedezoli P. Serum creatinine and chest radiographs in the early assessment of acute pancreatitis. *Am J Surg* 1999; 177:7-14. [PMID: 10037300]
 25. Swank GM, Deitch EA. Role of the gut in multiple organ failure: bacterial translocation and permeability changes. *World J Surg* 1996; 20:411-417. [PMID: 8662128]
 26. Ammori BJ. Role of the gut in the course of severe acute pancreatitis. *Pancreas* 2003; 26:122-129. [PMID: 12604908]
 27. Dervenis C, Smailis D, Hatzitheoklitos E. Bacterial translocation and its prevention in acute pancreatitis. *J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Surg* 2003; 10:415-418. [PMID: 14714160]
 28. Aufenanger J, Samman M, Quintel M, Fassbender K, Zimmer VV, Bertsch T. Pancreatic phospholipase A2 activity in acute pancreatitis: a prognostic marker for early identification of patients at risk. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 2002; 40:293-297. [PMID: 12005220]
 29. Weidenbach H, Lerch MM, Gress TM, Pfaff D, Turi S, Adler G. Vasoactive mediators and the progression from oedematous to necrotising experimental acute pancreatitis. *Gut* 1995; 37:434-440. [PMID: 7590444]
 30. Cicalese L, Sahai A, Sileri P, Rastellini C, Subbotin V, Ford H et al. Acute pancreatitis and bacterial translocation. *Dig Dis Sci* 2001; 46:1127-1132. [PMID: 11341659]
 31. Konturck SJ, Brzozowski T, Majka I, Pawlik W, Stachura J. Omentum and basic fibroblast growth factor in healing of chronic gastric ulcerations in rats. *Dig Dis Sci* 1994; 39:1064-1071. [PMID: 7513630]
 32. Shimotsu M, Simpson-Morgan MW, Takahashi T, Hagiwara A. Ontogeny of milky spots in the fetal lamb omentum. *Arch Histol Cytol* 1994; 57:291-299. [PMID: 7818952]
 33. Williams K, Carlson GW, Austin GE, Austin ED, Rand RP, Jurkiewicz MJ. Short gut syndrome: treatment by neovascularization of the small intestine. *Ann Plastic Surg* 1996; 37:84-89. [PMID: 8826597]
 34. Bikfalvi A, Alterio J, Inyang AL, Dupuy E, Laurent M, Hartmann MP et al. Basic fibroblast growth factor expression in human omental microvascular endothelial cells and the effect of phorbol ester. *J Cellular Phvsiol* 1990; 144:151-158. [PMID: 1694858]
-

